

# Donor-Acceptor-Stabilized Silicon Analogue of an Acid Anhydride

Rajendra S. Ghadwal,<sup>\*,†</sup> Ramachandran Azhakar,<sup>†</sup> Herbert W. Roesky,<sup>\*,†</sup> Kevin Pröpper,<sup>†</sup> Birger Dittrich,<sup>\*,†</sup> Susanne Klein,<sup>‡</sup> and Gernot Frenking<sup>\*,‡</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany <sup>+</sup>Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Straße, 35032 Marburg, Germany

# Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** A stable silicon analogue of an acid anhydride {PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>}Si{= $O \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$ }O-Si(H){= $O \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$ }{(NBu<sup>t</sup>)(HNBu<sup>t</sup>)CPh} (4) with a O=Si-O-Si=O core has been prepared by treating monochlorosilylene PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>SiCl (1) with H<sub>2</sub>O  $\cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$  in the presence of NHC (NHC = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazol-2-ylidene). Compound 4 has been characterized by elemental analysis and multinuclear NMR spectroscopic investigations. The molecular structure of 4 has been established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, and DFT calculations support the experimental results.

The chemistry of compounds containing silicon-heteroatom multiple bonds has been one of the interesting areas of research in main group chemistry.<sup>1-6</sup> Compounds with formal double bonds to silicon (Si=X) of group 16 elements (X = O, S, Se, Te) are considered to be very reactive due to the highly polarized Si=X bond as well as weak  $p_{\pi}-p_{\pi}$  interactions. This behavior is more pronounced in compounds with a formal silicon-oxygen double bond (Si=O) owing to oxygen having the highest electronegativity among the group 16 elements.

Nevertheless, some silicon compounds with Si=X double bond have been isolated<sup>3-12</sup> by taking advantage of kinetic and thermodynamic stabilization using specially designed ligands on silicon. The first successful attempt in this direction was made by Corriu et al.<sup>3</sup> with the isolation of base-stabilized silicon compounds containing Si=S and Si=Se bonds. Contributions to stabilize silicon compounds with Si=X bonds by the research groups of Wagler,<sup>4</sup> West,<sup>5</sup> Okazaki,<sup>6,7</sup> Tokitoh,<sup>6,7</sup> Kira,<sup>8</sup> and Driess<sup>9-12</sup> are noteworthy. Owing to the highly polarized nature of the Si=O bond, incorporation of a second substituent (OR, where R = H, alkyl, or aryl) is a challenge.<sup>12,13</sup>

We have reported a base-stabilized silicon thioester analogue (PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>Si(=S)SBu<sup>t</sup>), **A** (Scheme 1).<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, basestabilized germanium analogues of carboxylic acids LGe-(=X)OH, **B** (X = S, Se; Scheme 1), supported by  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand L (L = CH(MeCNAr)<sub>2</sub>, Ar = 2,6-Pr<sup>i</sup><sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) were synthesized and completely characterized.<sup>15</sup> Silicon analogues of carboxylic acid RSi(=O)OH are transient species and have been studied at low temperature in an argon matrix.<sup>16</sup> Driess and coworkers have explored the chemistry of silicon compounds<sup>9–12</sup> containing Si=X bonds that are stabilized by the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand. In a recent paper, they reported the isolation of the first silicon analogue of carboxylic acid LSi(=O)OH, C (Scheme 1), by donor—acceptor stabilization. A single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of C is still missing.  $^{12}$ 

In contrast to silicon, related carbon compounds with C=O functionality are ubiquitous and well-known. An acid anhydride (**D**) is shown in Scheme 2. Compounds of this type exhibit important roles in many industrial processes. To the best of our knowledge, a silicon analogue (**E**) of an acid anhydride so far has not been reported. We have used N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) as dehydrochlorinating agents for the preparation of dichlorosilylene,<sup>17</sup> germylene,<sup>18</sup> and metal hydroxides.<sup>19</sup> We successfully eliminated HCl from a silyl halide containing a secondary amino group using NHC to form an unstable compound with a Si=N double bond, which further dimerizes to a four-membered Si<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> ring.<sup>20</sup> In view of the above results, we became interested in preparing a compound with a Si=O bond starting from a chlorosilylene<sup>21</sup> PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>SiCl (1) and H<sub>2</sub>O·B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in the presence of NHC as a HCl scavenger.

Herein, we report on a silicon analogue of an acid anhydride  ${PhC(Bu^{t}N)_{2}}Si{=}O \cdot B(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}O - Si(H){=}O \cdot B(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}$  $\{(NBu^t)(HNBu^t)CPh\}$  (4; Scheme 3) containing the O=Si-O-Si=O moiety. Compound 4 was synthesized by treatment of a base-stabilized monochlorosilylene<sup>21</sup> PhC( $Bu^tN$ )<sub>2</sub>SiCl (1) with  $H_2O \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$  in the presence of NHC (NHC is IPr = 1,3bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene). The mechanism for the formation of 4 is not known; however we presume the involvement of a silaformaldehyde (2b) and a silacarboxylic acid (3) as depicted in Scheme 3. Formation of a monohydroxylsilylene  $PhC(Bu^tN)_2Si(HO \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3)$  2a by the elimination of HCl as IPr·HCl on reaction of 1 with  $H_2O \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$  and its subsequent rearrangement to 2b seems to be plausible.<sup>22</sup> Due to the high electronegativity of the oxygen atom and propensity of Si=O bonded compounds to exist as  $^+Si-O^-$ , 2b can isomerize to 2c. Cationic silicon in 2c may abstract oxygen from  $\{HOB(C_6F_5)_3\}^-$ , formed by the reaction of IPr and  $H_2O \cdot B(C_6F_5)_3$ , with elimination of  $\{HB(C_6F_5)\}^-$  to result in silacarboxylic acid 3. Protonation of the amino nitrogen of the amidinate ligand of 2b by silacarboxylic acid 3 affords acid anhydride 4. Compound 4 is a zwitterion in which anionic silacarboxylate oxygen coordinates to the second silicon atom that contains the protonated amidinate ligand. Compound 4 was isolated as colorless crystals and characterized by elemental analysis and NMR spectroscopy. The molecular structure of 4 was unequivocally established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

 Received:
 July 25, 2011

 Published:
 October 14, 2011

Scheme 1. Base-Stabilized Silicon or Germanium Analogues of Thioester (A), Thio- and Seleno-Carboxylic Acid (B; X = S or Se), and Carboxylic Acid (C)<sup>*a*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Ar = 2,6- $Pr_{2}^{i}C_{6}H_{3}$ , Y = 4-dimethylaminopyridine.

Scheme 2. An Acid Anhydride (D) and Its Silicon Analogue  $(E)^a$ 



Compound 4 is stable under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen and soluble in common organic solvents. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4 shows three sets of resonances for Bu<sup>t</sup> groups along with a complex multiplet for phenyl protons. A broad resonance at  $\delta$  10.27 ppm is tentatively assigned for the NH proton. The SiH proton appears as a broad resonance at  $\delta$  4.10 ppm.<sup>23</sup> The <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 4 exhibits two resonances at  $\delta$  –72.02 and –75.91 ppm. These resonances are consistent with those observed for compounds with a four-coordinate silicon atom.<sup>12</sup>

The molecular structure of compound 4 has been established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and is shown in Figure 1. Compound 4 crystallizes as colorless crystals in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$ . The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 4 and one and a half toluene molecules. Compound 4 features an interesting bonding situation and constitutes two functionalities within a single molecule. These are interpreted as a deprotonated silacarboxylic acid and silaaldehyde moieties. Alternatively, two Si=O (silacarbonyl) groups are bridged by an oxygen atom, and therefore 4 represents the first silicon analogue of acid anhydride with an O=Si-O-Si=O core. Compound 4 is a zwitterionic species; this is characteristic for an amino acid.

Each of the silicon atoms in 4 is four-coordinate and centered at the distorted tetrahedron. One of the amidinate ligands chelates a silicon atom in a bidentate fashion with the N1–Si1–N2 bite angle of 72.09(13)°. Another amidinate ligand at the second silicon atom is arranged in a monodentate fashion, exhibiting a protonated amino group. Bonds to divalent silicon atom are expected to be longer in comparison to those of tetravalent silicon.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, the Si1–N1 (1.797(3) Å) and Si1–N2 (1.773(3) Å) bond lengths are slightly shorter than those found in compound 1.<sup>21</sup> The silicon–oxygen bond lengths range from 1.5392(19) to 1.6272(19) Å and support the presence of an O=Si–O–Si=O core in 4. They vary according to the electronic environment around the silicon atoms. The Si1–O1 bond length (1.5392(19) Å) is very close to the Si=O distance (1.545(2) Å) reported for Scheme 3. Synthesis of Silicon Analogue of Acid Anhydride 4 (IPr = 1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene): (a) Formation of Silaformaldehyde (2b) and Silacarboxylic Acid (3) as Intermediates; (b) Interaction of 2b and 3 Result in the Formation of 4



Figure 1. ORTEP representation of molecular structure with displacement ellipsoids of 4; anisotropic displacement parameters depicted at 50% probability. Only *ipso* carbon atoms of  $C_6F_5$  rings of  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  and H atoms on Si2 and N4 are shown for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Si1–O1 1.5392(19), Si2–O3 1.5804(18), Si1–O2 1.5829(19), Si2–O2 1.6272(19), Si1–N1 1.797(3), Si1–N2 1.773(3), Si1–N3 1.816(2), O1–B1 1.493(3), O3–B2 1.488(3); O1–Si–O2 115.12(10), Si1–O2–Si2 163.20(14), O2–Si2–O3 112.08(10), O1–Si1–N1 114.28(12), N1–Si1–O2 112.78(12), O2–Si2–N3 106.00 (10), N1–Si1–N2 72.09(13).



Figure 2. Calculated geometries (BP86/TZVPP-SVP) of 4 (top) and 4M (bottom). Selected bond lengths are given in Å.

the compound with a four-coordinate silicon atom.<sup>11c</sup> The Si2–O2 bond length (1.6272(19) Å) is consistent with the Si–O single bond.<sup>12</sup> The Si2–O3 (1.5804(18) Å) and Si1–O2 (1.5829(19) Å) bond lengths are shorter than the Si–O single bond but longer than the Si=O double bond distances. Slight elongation of Si2–O3 and shortening of Si1–O2 bond lengths may be due to steric hindrance or to the electronic interaction of the Lewis acid B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>12</sup> The Si1–O2–Si2 (163.20(14)°) bond features the largest bond angle.

We carried out DFT calculations at the BP86/TZVPP-SVP level (see Supporting Information) for compound 4 and the uncomplexed parent system 4M without the  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  molecules. Figure 2 shows the optimized geometries of 4 and 4M. The calculated bond lengths of 4 are in good agreement with those of the experiment. The computed Si-O distances are 0.01-0.03 Å longer than the X-ray values. The calculated Si1-O1 bond length (1.563 Å) is shorter than the Si2–O3 distance (1.591 Å), while the Si1-O2 (1.617 Å) and Si2-O2 bonds (1.647 Å) are still longer, which concurs with the experiment. The complexation of 4 with two  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  molecules at the terminal oxygen atoms complicates the assignment of the Si-O linkages as single or double bonds. The calculated bond lengths of 4M give a much clearer picture of the bonding situation. The terminal Si1-O1 (1.546 Å) and Si2-O3 bonds (1.558 Å) are much shorter than the bridging Si1-O2 (1.640 Å) and Si2-O2 bonds (1.662 Å). The former distances agree with the classification of double bonds, while the latter values concur with typical Si–O single bonds. Complexation of 4 with  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  molecules at the terminal oxygen atoms lengthens the Si1-O1 and Si2-O3 bonds, and it shortens the Si1–O2 and Si2–O2 bonds.

Moreover, we analyzed the nature of the Si=O bond in 4 and in 4M with the NBO method. The  $\pi$ -bond in 4 is strongly polarized toward the oxygen end. The NBO data suggest that 91.7% of the Si=O  $\pi$  bond is at oxygen, while only 8.3% is at silicon. The most appropriate Lewis structure for 4 should thus be written with a negative partial charge at O(-) and a positive charge at Si(+). The nature of the  $\pi$  bond changes very little after complexation with the Lewis acid at oxygen. The Si=O  $\pi$  bond in **4M** is a bit more polarized toward oxygen (95.5%), while only 4.5% is at silicon. It follows that the Si=O bonding situations in **4** and in **4M** are very similar.

In summary, we report here for the first time a stable silicon analogue of an acid anhydride {PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>}Si{=O·B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}–O-Si(H){=O·B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}{(NBu<sup>t</sup>)(HNBu<sup>t</sup>)CPh} (4). Compound 4 was prepared by the reaction of monochlorosilylene PhC(Bu<sup>t</sup>N)<sub>2</sub>SiCl (1) with the water—borane adduct H<sub>2</sub>O·B-(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in the presence of NHC. NHC serves as a HCl scavenger. Compound 4 was characterized by elemental analysis and multinuclear NMR spectroscopic investigations. The molecular structure of 4 was established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. DFT calculations support the experimental results.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** Experimental procedures and X-ray crystallographic and computational information of compound 4. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

rghadwal@uni-goettingen.de; hroesky@gwdg.de, bdittri@gwdg.de; frenking@chemie.uni-marburg.de

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Support of the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* is highly acknowledged. R. A. is thankful to AvHS for a fellowship. This paper is dedicated to Professor Karl Otto Christe.

## REFERENCES

(a) Raabe, G.; Michl, J. in *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1989; Part 2, Chapter 17, pp 1015–1142. (b) Müller, T.; Ziche, W.; Auner, N. In *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*; Rappoport, Z., Apeloig, Y., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1998; Vol 2, Part 2, pp 859–1062. (c) *Advances in Organometallic Chemistry*; West, R., Stone, F. G. A., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1996; Vol. 39.

(2) (a) Fischer, R. C.; Power, P. P. Chem. Rev. **2010**, 110, 3877–3923. (b) Power, P. P. Nature **2010**, 463, 171–177.

(3) Arya, P.; Boyer, J.; Carré, F.; Corriu, R.; Lanneau, G.; Lapasset, J.; Perrot, M.; Priou, C. Angew. Chem. **1989**, 101, 1069–1071. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1989**, 28, 1016–1018.

(4) Brendler, E.; Hill, A. F.; Wagler, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2008, 14, 11300-11304.

(5) Mitra, A.; Wojcik, J. P.; Lecoanet, D.; Müller, T.; West, R. Angew. Chem. **2009**, 121, 4130–4133. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 4069–4072.

(6) (a) Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. In *The Chemistry of Organosilicon Compounds*; Rappoport, Z., Apeloig, Y., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1998; Vol. 2, Chapter 17, pp 1063–1103. (b) Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, 47, 121–166. (c) Suzuki, H.; Tokitoh, N.; Nagase, S.; Okazaki, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11578–11579.

(7) (a) Tokitoh, N.; Suzuki, H.; Matsumoto, T.; Matsuhashi, Y.;
Okazaki, R.; Goto, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7047–7049.
(b) Suzuki, H.; Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R.; Nagase, S.; Goto, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11096–11105.

(8) Iwamoto, T.; Sato, K.; Ishida, S.; Kabuto, C.; Kira, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16914–16920.

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society

(9) (a) Yao, S.; Xiong, Y.; Brym, M.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7268–7269. (b) Yao, S.; Xiong, Y.; Brym, M.; Driess, M. Chem.—Asian J. 2008, 3, 113–118. (c) Epping, J. D.; Yao, S.; Apeloig, Y.; Karni, M.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5443–5455. (d) Yao, S.; Brym, M.; van Wüllen, C.; Driess, M. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 4237–4240. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4159–4162.

(10) Meltzer, A.; Inoue, S.; Präsang, C.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 3038–3046.

(11) (a) Xiong, Y.; Yao, S.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7562–7563. (b) Yao, S.; Xiong, Y.; Driess, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 1281–1288. (c) Xiong, Y.; Yao, S.; Müller, R.; Kaupp, M.; Driess, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6912–6913.

(12) Xiong, Y.; Yao, S.; Driess, M. Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 6792–6795. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6642–6645.

(13) Richardson, N. A.; Rienstra-Kiracofe, J. C.; Schaefer, H. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 10813–10819.

(14) So, C. W.; Roesky, H. W.; Oswald, R. B.; Pal, A.; Jones, P. C. Dalton Trans. 2007, 5241–5244.

(15) (a) Pineda, L. W.; Jancik, V.; Roesky, H. W.; Herbst-Irmer, R. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5650–5652. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5534–5536. (b) Pineda, L. W.; Jancik, V.; Oswald, R. B.; Roesky, H. W. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2384–2387.

(16) (a) Withnall, R.; Andrews, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2567–2568. (b) Patyk, A.; Sander, W.; Gauss, J.; Cremer, D. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 920–922. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1989, 28, 898–900.
(c) Remko, M.; Smiesko, M.; van Duijnen, P. T. Mol. Phys. 2000, 98, 709–714.

(17) Ghadwal, R. S.; Roesky, H. W.; Merkel, S.; Henn, J.; Stalke, D. Angew. Chem. **2009**, 121, 5793–5796. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 5683–5686.

(18) Jana, A.; Objartel, I.; Roesky, H. W.; Stalke, D. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 798-800.

(19) (a) Yang, Y.; Gurubasavaraj, P. M.; Ye, H.; Zhang, Z.; Roesky, H. W.; Jones, P. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 693, 1455–1461.
(b) Singh, S.; Jancik, V.; Roesky, H. W.; Herbst-Irmer, R. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 949–951. (c) Pineda, L. W.; Jancik, V.; Roesky, H. W.; Neculai, D.; Neculai, A. M. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 1443–1445. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1419–1421.

(20) Sarish, S. P.; Jana, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Samuel, P. P.; Andrade, C. E. A.; Dittrich, B.; Schulzke, C. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 912–916.

(21) So, C.-W.; Roesky, H. W.; Mugull, J.; Oswald, R. B. Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 4052–4054. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3948– 3950.

(22) All manipulations were carried out in strictly anhydrous and oxygen-free atmosphere using glovebox or Schlenk-line techniques. Reaction of 1 with  $H_2O$  results in the formation of amidinate salt  $PhC(Bu'NH)_2 \cdot HCl$  with no silicon in the toluene-soluble part, most probably due to the formation of (SiO<sub>2</sub>).

(23) Due to rapid hydrogen exchange on the NMR time scale even at low-temperature, it was not possible to measure  ${}^{29}$ Si $-{}^{1}$ H coupling. Therefore,  ${}^{29}$ Si NMR resonances for both silicon atoms in 4 appear almost in the same region.

(24) West, R.; Denk, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1996, 68, 785-788.